

> From: Garda <algarda@attglobal.net>
> Date: July 2, 2004 4:06:33 PM MDT
> To: kirsten <kirsten@parkcity.org>
> Cc: David Johnson <dwj@utah-inter.net>, psbro3@comcast.net, Alan
> Larson <awlarson@redrock.net>
> Subject: Treasure Hill project

>

> Dear Kirsten,

> Yesterday Pat Sweeney came to our home and met with my husband
Bob,

> our neighbors Carol and Alan Larson, and me. Pat brought us up to
> date on the Treasure Hill project.

>

> As you know, the most massive building (the one that is seven
> stories above current grade) will be only 100 feet from our back
deck.

> We asked Pat about the possibility of stepping this building back
from

> our property in order to minimize its impact. He responded that he
> could do that, but only if given some flexibility by the city. He
> indicated that if footprints could be varied by as much as 5% (this
> could be done without violating any promises regarding open space or
> height restrictions) and be given some leeway in the equivalency
> formulas (without increasing total square footage), they could move
> some things around and step back the building. He, of course, does
> not want to lose any of the square footage granted in prior
> agreements. I understand that both kinds of flexibility have been
> granted in prior projects. When Bob and I met with you in March, you
> speculated that the Sweeneys would be asked to step back the big
> building from the Old Town side. I hope this means the city is
> inclined to allow the flexibility to do step backs.

>

> Bob and I leave town tomorrow (Saturday) and will not return until
> late July. Thus, we have asked Dave Johnson to meet with you
> regarding these issues of footprint variance and equivalency
formulas.

> We would like to lobby for this flexibility. If there are good
> reasons for the city not to grant flexibility, we would like to
> understand them.

>

> I think the Sweeneys are spending a lot of money to produce a 3-D
> photographic representation of this project. It is taking longer
than

> expected. It seems to us that it would be a lot simpler to just
build

> a model and that all of us non-technical types could get a much
better
> handle on the project from a real model than from a cyber model.
>
> Again, we would like to emphasize that the Sweeneys have been
> responsive to our calls and concerns. We very much appreciate their
> attention to our issues.
>
> Annie Lewis Garda
> July 2, 2004

Response to Annie Lewis Garda:

We appreciate the comments and the opportunity to meet – The Sweeneys will work with the City to try and accomplish the above objective.